

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patcht and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Byz 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,773	11/14/2001	Todres Yampel	030/3	5811
27538	7590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
KAPLAN GILMAN GIBSON & DERNIER L.L.P.			MUHEBBULLAH, SAJEDA	
900 ROUTE WOODBRIE	9 NORTH DGE, NJ 07095		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	<b></b>		2174	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, _						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer		09/992,773	YAMPEL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	Sajeda Muhebbullah	2174			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2005.					
2a)⊠ —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
	ion Papers					
		or				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attach	t(c)					
Attachmen	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aten Application (F10-132)			

Application/Control Number: 09/992,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 6/30/2005.

2. Claims 1-6 are pending in the application. Claims 1 and 4 are independent claims. In Amendment, claims 1-6 have been amended. This action is made Final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callaway et al. ("Callaway", US 5,043,919) in view of Schauser (US 6,331,855).

As per claim 1, Callaway teaches a system comprising a remote computer and a plurality of user terminals, a method of updating the display at a user terminal comprising the following steps (col.1, lines 14-39):

receiving a screen of information transmitted from the remote computer (col.1, lines 16-17);

dividing the screen into a plurality of objects (col.5, lines 11-21); detecting which of the objects are affected by input by a user (col.5, lines 24-26); sending information regarding the user input to the remote computer (col.5, lines 46-49); receiving a new screen of information (col.5, lines 46-49); and comparing only the affected objects in the new screen and the old screen (col.4, lines 45-

55).

Application/Control Number: 09/992,773

Art Unit: 2174

Callaway does not teach the steps to be carried out at the user terminal. Schauser teaches the updating of display information in which the detection and updating of the screens can be performed at the user terminal (col.3, lines 57-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Schauser's teaching with Callaway's system in order to give more control to the user terminal and less work to be done by the host.

Page 3

As per claim 2, Callaway teaches said objects to comprise fields into which data is to be entered by a user (col.5, lines 14-21).

As per claim 3, Callaway teaches said objects to comprise character positions into which data is to be entered by a user (col.5, lines 46-49).

The limitations of independent claim 4 are similar in scope to the limitations of independent claim 1, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale. In addition, Callaway teaches recreating only the changes in the affected objects in the user display (col.5, lines 46-49).

Claims 5-6 are similar in scope to claims 2-3 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,773

Art Unit: 2174

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this-

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

**Communications** 

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sajeda Muhebbullah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4065.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday/Thursday and alt. Mondays from 8:00 am to

4:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The central fax number for the organization where correspondence for this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Sajeda Muhebbullah

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2174

NCHOTHE NAMED SUPERIORY PATENT EXAMINER

Bustine Vincaid

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100